REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Procurement Number:</strong></th>
<th>IRI2020CPS020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Date:</strong></td>
<td>September 24, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Questions Deadline:</strong></td>
<td>October 1, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closing Deadline:</strong></td>
<td>October 4, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Geographical Area Restrictions:</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Point of Contact:</strong></td>
<td>Alex Robson, CISR Coordinator, (<a href="mailto:arobson@iri.org">arobson@iri.org</a>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

The International Republican Institute (IRI) is a nonprofit, nonpartisan, organization dedicated to advancing freedom and democracy worldwide. Since 1983, IRI has worked to develop democratic institutions and ideals, carrying out a variety of international programs to promote freedom, self-government and the rule of law worldwide. IRI provides technical assistance in the areas of political party strengthening, developing civic institutions and open elections, promoting democratic governance and advancing the rule of law.

IRI is launching a new project on conflict prevention and stabilization. Under this project, will support locally legitimate governance actors to implement community-wide interventions that strengthen governance and thereby prevent the spread of violent conflict and foster pluralism. IRI seeks to pilot a Conflict and Governance Assessment Framework in Burma using quantitative and qualitative research.

**Period of Performance**

November 2020 – January 2021

**Statement of Work**

**STATEMENT OF WORK: Burma Community-level Survey**

**OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH**

Public opinion data shall be obtained through a survey of two regionally representative communities in Burma with a mix of urban and rural respondents that is designed to obtain the most accurate possible information about: (a) Establish a community-level baseline of attitudes and self-reported behaviors related to conflict and governance. (b) Understand locally legitimate actors in conflict-affected settings. It is IRI's preference that data collection for this survey is conducted through face-to-face interviews (PAPI/CAPI). Should this be impossible or inadvisable, IRI will accept proposals for phone interviews (CATI).
RESEARCH TASKS

(1) General Responsibilities of Bidder. The bidder shall be responsible for the project design and execution, including the following specific tasks:

(a) translation, formatting, pretesting, adaptation, and printing of questionnaires (PAPI) or programming of questionnaires (CAPI/CATI);
(b) development of a Sampling Plan, to be based on a multistage stratified plan (PAPI/CAPI) or a CATI sampling methodology (CATI); list and explain any geographic or other exclusions; the proposed Sampling Plan will be reviewed by and any further changes agreed upon by IRI and Bidder will be incorporated;
(c) training supervisors and interviewers;
(d) arranging and supervising all aspects of fieldwork, including back-checks;
(e) entering (only if PAPI) and processing the survey data, including data cleaning and encoding survey responses;
(f) ascertaining the representativeness of the sample and weighting the data, if necessary;
(g) Statistical analysis of findings and writing an 3-5 page analytic report to place the findings within the local political and other context.

(2) Questionnaire. IRI will provide a draft questionnaire and the bidder will provide input, such as a need for rephrasing certain terms for local context.

IRI requests translation into Burmese and the languages most commonly spoken in the selected communities. Translations will be reviewed and approved by IRI.

For PAPI, full versions should be printed and interviewers should be allowed to code the questionnaire in the interview language. For CAPI/CATI at least the main interview language must be programmed—only programming in English (unless English is the main interview language) is not sufficient. For PAPI/CAPI, the questionnaire may call for showcards, which need to be printed in all translated languages. For PAPI/CAPI, the estimated average interview duration is 20 minutes.

Full versions should be printed and interviewers should be allowed to code the questionnaire in the interview language. If CAPI devices are used, at least the main interview language must be programmed into CAPI—only programming in English (unless English is the main interview language) is not sufficient. The questionnaire may call for showcards, which need to be printed in all translated languages.

(3) Sample Design. For each of the two communities, IRI requires a sample of 500 adults (option a), or 800 adults (option b), or 1000 adults (option c) age 18 or older, that is representative of the population of the survey universe. The survey will be carried out in
two townships in up to two of the following states: Kayin, Mon, Bago, and Mandalay. Planned exclusions of the population of the survey universe (e.g. geographic etc.) must be discussed during quotation stage.

1. For PAPI/CAPI, the sample shall be drawn using recognized probability methods, all the way down to the selection of the individual respondent at the household level. In the event that any departure from probability sampling methods is recommended by the bidder for all or any part of the survey, the sampling methodologies to be employed shall be described in detail and a rationale for their use shall be provided. IRI’s general preferred sampling approach is: Stage 1: PPS Stratification by administrative units as per census (e.g. province, district etc.)

2. Stage 2: PPS Stratification by urban/rural (if census data exists)

3. Stage 3: Random selection of Sampling Point (no more than 10 interviews per Sampling Point) within each Stage 2 stratum

4. Stage 4: Random route household selection within each Sampling Point. Starting point within Sampling Point ideally randomly determined by satellite map or GPS coordinates.

5. Stage 5: Random selection of respondent within each selected household by KISH grid or last/next birthday method.

Sample Sampling Plan for n=1000 with 10 interviews per Sampling Point (SP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPS for Stages 1 and 2</th>
<th>STAGE 1</th>
<th>STAGE 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of country’s 18+ population</td>
<td># of SPs in district</td>
<td>% of population of district urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District A</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District B</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District C</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District D</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District E</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District F</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A detailed sampling plan shall be submitted to the IRI prior to fieldwork, including a description of the survey coverage, allocation of the sample, description of the sampling
frame, description of any stratification criteria to be used, description of the stages of selection and the selections methods to be used at each stage, a definition of the Sampling Points and approximate number of interviews to be conducted within each Sampling Point, the method to be used for selection of the respondent at the household level, an explanation for any divergence from use of the KISH grid for respondent selection, and call-back rules to be employed. It is assumed that the sample will be distributed in proportion to the population of each region or other geographic unit to be surveyed; in the event that the bidder wishes to propose a sample design departing from this principle the proposal should describe the allocation to be used and the reasons for doing so.

For CATI, the sample shall be drawn using recognized probability methods, all the way down to the selection of the individual respondent. In the event that any departure from probability sampling methods is recommended by the bidder for all or any part of the survey, the sampling methodologies to be employed shall be described in detail and a rationale for their use shall be provided. IRI’s preferred approach to CATI is sampling list-based, provided that recent sampling frame data exists in form of listings of all phone numbers (landline and mobile, incl. of all major mobile phone service providers.) Alternatively, IRI accepts an RDD approach, ideally with some stratification, e.g. by area code. A detailed sampling methodology shall be submitted to the IRI prior to fieldwork, including a description of the survey coverage, allocation of the sample, description of the sampling frame, description of any stratification criteria to be used, description of the stages of selection and the selections methods to be used at each stage, the method to be used for selection of the respondent in case of shared phone numbers, and call-back rules to be employed. It is assumed that the sample will be distributed in proportion to the population of each region or other geographic unit to be surveyed; in the event that the bidder wishes to propose a sample design departing from this principle the proposal should describe the allocation to be used and the reasons for doing so.

(4) Pre-test. The questionnaire shall be pre-tested by the bidder prior to the commencement of any fieldwork. No less than 20 pre-test interviews shall be conducted and in surveys with translations into multiple language, no less than 10 interviews per language. The bidder shall provide IRI with a written report of the results of the pre-test, along with details of any problems encountered and suggested remedies, prior to the commencement of any fieldwork.

(5) Fieldwork.

Interviews shall be conducted by experienced field workers who shall be thoroughly briefed by bidder prior to commencement of any fieldwork. Remote training via video-conference is acceptable. The bidder shall ensure that interviewers are thoroughly familiar with household and respondent selection procedures, call back procedures (at least 3 attempts before substituting a selected respondent), and the structure of the questionnaire, including routing and filtering. Interviewer training shall include practice sessions in administering the questionnaire. All interviewers must have at least completed secondary
education and must be fully fluent (reading and speaking) in the language(s) in which they are to administer the interviews. A minimum of 10% of interviews shall be back checked or accompanied by a team supervisor.

For PAPI/CAPI, the interviews shall be face-to-face and they shall be conducted in the home of the respondent. IRI prefers questionnaire administration via CAPI but is open to paper-and-pencil questionnaire administration if CAPI use is not feasible or advisable. For CATI, the interviews will be conducted on the phone.

Household and respondent selection must be tracked on a contact tracking sheet or similar—this will be needed to calculate the response rate. Informed consent (oral is acceptable unless country laws require written) must be sought prior to commencing the interview. IRI will provide draft informed consent language to the bidder and this template may be updated by the bidder to conform with the country’s legal requirements.

(6) Data Processing. The bidder shall be responsible for data entry with a 10% double-punch standard (if PAPI), cleaning, and processing, including development and implementation of a coding scheme for all open-ended questions. The bidder shall supply data to IRI as a clean, fully labeled in English SPSS “*.sav” file with a complete data dictionary of variable names and value labels. There shall be one data record for each respondent and records shall be of fixed length. The bidder shall be responsible for deriving and applying any post-stratification weights required to bring the sample into conformity with the demographic profile of the population at the very least for gender and age groups, as well as any additional weighting factors required to correct for disproportionate allocation, if use. Ideally, IRI would want education groups included in weights, and if available demographic data allows it, those without formal education will be assigned a separately code from those with at least some primary education. If demographic weights were to exceed a factor 1:8, this must be discussed in writing with IRI before the weight is applied. IRI has strict upper limits on post-weighting and requires unweighted samples already generally matching the population’s rough gender and age distribution. For example, an unweighted sample of 65% male and 35% female would not be accepted, even if corrected with post-weights, unless the potential for this skew is already disclosed in the proposal. Since each community will be analyzed separately, no weight will be needed to bring the two communities into proportion with one another.

Each record shall include a unique respondent ID number, interviewer ID number, interview duration, Sampling Point ID number, interview start and end times, date of interview, GPS coordinates if using CAPI devices capable of capturing this data, and interview language if there is more than one. Each record shall include demographic information about the respondent, including: gender, exact age, and education level.

(7) Technical Report. When delivering data, the bidder shall provide a Technical Report which shall include the following:
(a) PAPI/CAPI: A complete Sampling Plan, including list of PSUs and individual sampling points and number of interviews conducted at each sampling point. CATI: Complete sampling methodology
(b) Details of response rates, including tabulation of unsuccessful interview attempts, with reasons for non-response (i.e. respondent refusal, proxy refusal, inability to locate selected respondent, etc.).
(c) A brief report on survey operations including any practical difficulties encountered in carrying out the survey;
(d) Estimated sampling error;
(e) A complete explanation of the weighting scheme including details of how weighting factors were developed and applied, as well as the demographic data on which weights were based (i.e., age, gender, and education distributions in the population);
(f) any abnormalities encountered during data QC, including but not limited to: suspicious patterns by interviewer ID (e.g. usually fast completion rates), for CAPI GPS coordinates not matching the selected sampling point, potential contradictions (e.g. respondents who rate a certain politician as highly untrustworthy yet definitely plan on voting for this person), significant data changes of indicators since the previous poll etc.

(8) PowerPoint Presentation. The bidder will provide a presentation with a chart for every survey question. There should be two separate powerpoints summarizing the questions per each community. A template (already completed with historic data for trend charts if applicable) will be provided by IRI.

(9) Summary of deliverables. The Deliverables to be provided to IRI by the bidder are as follows:

(a) Sampling Plan

(b) Pretest report

(c) Copies of the final questionnaire, as fielded, in English and translations

(d) Two complete data sets formatted as SPSS files (one for each community)

(e) A technical report PowerPoint Presentation

(10) Delivery Schedule. Bidder will advise IRI on a feasible timeline for completing work on this project as soon as possible, with the deliverables listed in Section 9 above to be submitted no later than 2 weeks after the conclusion of fieldwork.
(11) Proposals must contain:

- List surveys with similar specifications previously conducted in this country with a particular focus on the states listed in this SOW.
- Provide a justification to carry out this study in two specific townships in Kayin, Mon, Bago, or Mandalay. Justifications would include an explanation of conflict and governance dynamics, as well as an overview of demographics in these communities. Provide up to five options for IRI’s consideration and selection (See below for IRI’s selection criteria of communities.)
- Explain if planning on using subcontractors for any tasks
- Is bidder able to comply with all requirements listed on the SOW without alterations? If any proposed alterations, explain.
- Coverage, if not 100% of non-institutionalized adults aged 18+:
- Describe sampling methodology, including design and the source the sampling frame is drawn from, household selection, respondent selection, number of callbacks
- Please describe the ethnic and religious backgrounds of the interviewers you intend to engage for this survey
- Please list the languages into which you intend to translate the questionnaire into
- Please list your experience conducting surveys on topics such as conflict and community cohesion
- Anticipated response rate
- List interview method (F2F Paper and Pencil, CAPI)
- Describe Interviewer Training (duration, topics etc.)
- Describe fieldwork quality control (direct observation, personal backchecks, phone backchecks etc.)
- Explain any risks associated with the Coronavirus situation to your company’s operations, employees, and respondents, and how you intend to mitigate such risks
- Describe data entry and data checks protocol (e.g. checks for duplicate entries, logic checks etc.)
- Describe anticipated challenges if any

Characteristics Considered for Community Selection

- Security Conditions: While IRI intends to target communities affected by and prone to violent conflict, IRI will select communities that are not experiencing widespread violence in order to guarantee the safety and security of staff and contractors.
- Type of Conflict: IRI will select communities that are experiencing inter-ethnic, inter-religious or other forms of communal violence. IRI recognizes that violent conflict can be driven simultaneously by a confluence of religious and ethnic grievances, as well as other factors, including resource availability.
• Perpetrators of Violent Conflict: In selected communities, IRI will primarily focus on violent conflict perpetrated by non-state or local community members. This includes identifying localized disputes that could morph into violent inter-communal conflicts. It could also involve understanding the factors that create susceptibility to recruitment by non-state armed actors, such as organizations that incite intercommunal violence.

• Existing Initiatives: Applicants should demonstrate an understanding of existing initiatives – including those related to governance and conflict prevention – and select communities that are under-served or where there may be gaps in ongoing community-level initiatives.

• The two communities that reflect different geographical characteristics:
  - Urban: urban centers/capital
  - Urban-periphery: areas that border urban centers and thus share characteristics of both urban and rural areas
  - Rural: areas that are remote from the urban centers and are characterized by limited presence of the state

**Technical Proposals**

All proposals submitted to IRI must include:

1. Information addressing Bidder’s experience in providing each of the services identified in the above Statement of Work and Bidder’s proposed specific approach for providing those services to IRI under this contract, including sufficient information to determine a clear definition of services as it relates to other providers that may be involved.

2. The name, address, and telephone and facsimile numbers of the Bidder (and electronic address if available). If the Bidder is a firm, name(s) should be provided for individuals authorized to conduct business on behalf of the firm.

3. Bidders may provide a list of up to three references for work performed of a similar nature during the last three years, along with contact details. IRI may contact the references to evaluate past performance.

4. If the Bidder is a U.S. organization/resident, or a foreign organization/resident which has income effectively connected with the conduct of activities in the U.S. or has an office or a place of business or a fiscal paying agent in the U.S., the information submitted must contain Bidder’s Taxpayer Identification Number.

5. Proposals will not exceed 5 pages (not including cover page), Times New Roman, 1" margins.

6. Attachment requirements:
   - a. CVs/resumes of the lead researcher and proposed research team. Each CV/resume should provide a concise overview of credentials and be no longer than two pages.
   - b. Names and contact information of three references.
   - c. Previous example of qualitative survey research in Burma.

7. Bidders must:
   - a. Have at least five years’ experience conducting CAPI/PAPI experience in Burma.
   - b. Have capability to conduct CATI polling at IRI’s request.
   - c. Have professional fluency in Burmese and English.
Price Proposals
Bidders must propose a firm-fixed Unit Price for each of the deliverables identified below and in the format of the table below. The Bidder’s pricing must be valid for at least 60 (sixty) calendar days after the due date for proposal submission. The proposed Unit Price should be fixed and inclusive of all costs to perform, including inspection services, transportation, taxes, import duties (if any), and other levies. To the extent that a Bidder proposed to include any pricing not reflected in the table below, such pricing must be fully described in the proposal. Bidder should include a price chart broken down by deliverable. In a separate chart, bidder should include ‘cost per deliverable’ for n=500, n=800, and n=1000 using the template supplied below. Contract will be awarded in USD by wire transfer. Proposals must be submitted in USD, payments under any resulting contract will be made in this currency.

### PAPI/CAPI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insert cost per deliverable in USD</th>
<th>Sample size n=500</th>
<th>Sample size n=800</th>
<th>Sample size n=1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of Final Questionnaire w/Translations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete data set</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical PowerPoint Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CATI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Insert cost per deliverable in USD</th>
<th>Sample size n=500</th>
<th>Sample size n=800</th>
<th>Sample size n=1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretest Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copies of Final Questionnaire w/Translations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete data set</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical PowerPoint Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation and Award Process**

1. IRI may contact any Bidder for clarification or additional information, but Bidders are advised that IRI intends to evaluate the offers based on the written proposals, without discussions, and reserves the right to make decisions based solely on the information provided with the initial proposals. IRI may but is not obligated to conduct additional
negotiations with the most highly rated Bidders prior to award of a contract and may at its sole discretion elect to issue contracts to one or more Bidders.

2. Mathematical errors will be corrected in the following manner: If a discrepancy exists between the total price proposed and the total price resulting from multiplying the unit price by the corresponding amounts, then the unit price will prevail and the total price will be corrected. If there is a discrepancy between the numbers written out in words and the amounts in numbers, then the amount expressed in words will prevail. If the Bidder does not accept the correction, the offer will be rejected.

3. IRI may determine that a proposal is unacceptable if the prices proposed are materially unbalanced between line items or sub-line items. Unbalanced pricing exists when, despite an acceptable total evaluated price, the price of one or more contract line items is significantly overstated or understated as indicated by the application of cost or price analysis techniques. A proposal may be rejected if IRI determines that the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk.

4. IRI will conduct a source selection based as follows:

IRI intends to make an award to the responsible Bidder based on the following evaluation factors:

a) Technical evaluation, (including technical capabilities, proposed technical approach, and personnel qualifications) – 40 percent
   - Sampling Methodology (20 percent)
   - Fieldwork Quality Control (10 percent)
   - Personnel Qualification (10 percent)

b) Past performance and experience in performing similar projects – 35 percent
   - Experience conducting PAPI/CAPI polls in Burma (25 percent)
   - Experience conducting CATI polls in Burma (10 percent)

c) Price – 25 percent
   - Price of PAPI/CAPI polls (15 percent)
   - Price of CATI polls (10 percent)

IRI intends to evaluate Bidders’ proposals in accordance with these factors and make an award to the responsible Bidder whose proposal is most advantageous to the program.

5. If a cost realism analysis is performed, cost realism may be considered in evaluating performance or price.

**Submission Instructions**

Bids must be submitted via email to Alex Robson, at arobson@iri.org with the subject line “IRI2020CPS02o” by the deadline listed above.

**RFP Terms and Conditions**

1. Prospective Bidders are requested to review clauses incorporated by reference in the section “Notice Listing Contract Clauses Incorporated by Reference”.
2. IRI may reject any or all proposals if such is within IRI’s interest.
3. Proof of costs incurred, such as but not limited to receipts, pictures and financial documents, may be requested during and for up to three years after the end of the contract period.

4. The Bidder’s initial proposal should contain the Bidder’s best offer.

5. Payment will be made upon receipt of invoices and deliverables/services.

6. Discussions with Bidders following the receipt of a proposal do not constitute a rejection or counteroffer by IRI.

7. IRI will hold all submissions as confidential and submissions shall not be disclosed to third parties. IRI reserves the right to share proposals internally, across divisions, for the purposes of evaluating the proposals.

8. For any currency conversion, the exchange rate to US Dollars listed on oanda.com on the closing date of this solicitation shall be used.

9. Every contract will contain provisions governing termination for cause and termination for convenience.

10. By submitting a proposal, offeror agrees to comply with all terms, conditions, and provisions included in the solicitation and agreement to the services identified above, and will specifically identify any disagreement with or exceptions to the terms, conditions, and provisions.

11. Offerors confirm that the prices in the proposal/proposal/application/quote have been arrived at independently, without any consultation, communication, or agreement with any other Bidder or competitor for the purpose of restricting competition.

12. Bidders agree to disclose as part of the proposal submission:
   - Any close, familial, or financial relationships with IRI staff and agents. For example, the Bidder must disclose if a Bidder’s mother conducts volunteer trainings for IRI.
   - Any family or financial relationship with other Bidders submitting proposals. For example, if the Bidder’s father owns a company that is submitting another proposal, the Bidder must state this.
   - Any other action that might be interpreted as potential conflict of interest.

Notice Listing Contract Clauses Incorporated by Reference
IRI is required to make the contractor subject to certain flowdown clauses of the prime award. This awarded contract will incorporate one or more clauses by reference, with the same force and effect as if they were given in full text. Where “flow-down” to the contractor is applicable, references to “USAID/Department of State” shall be interpreted to mean “IRI”, “Recipient” to mean “Contractor”, and “Subrecipient” to mean “lower-tier subcontractor.” Included by reference are the applicable provisions contained in Appendix II to 2 CFR Part 200 and USAID Standard Provisions for Non-US Non-governmental Organizations/US Department of State Standard Terms and Conditions.

IRI Obligations
Issuance of this RFP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of IRI, nor does it commit IRI to pay for costs incurred in the preparation and submission of a proposal or quotation.

Required Certifications
The following certificates need to be signed by all Bidders. These certifications are an integral part of the quotation/proposal. Please print them off and send back to us with your proposal after signature on each certificate. They are:

- Certification regarding debarment, suspension, ineligibility and voluntary exclusion lower tier covered transactions
- Authorized Individuals
- Certification Regarding Lobbying
CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, INELIGIBILITY AND VOLUNTARY EXCLUSION LOWER TIER COVERED TRANSACTIONS

This certification implements Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension and the requirements set forth in 2.C.F.R. 180, Subpart C.”

Copies of the regulations may be obtained by contacting the person to which this proposal is submitted.

1. By signing and submitting this proposal/application/quote, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.

2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal/application/quote is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.


5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal/application/quote that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the IRI.

6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal/application/quote that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion--Lower Tier Covered Transactions," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals.

8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 above, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a
person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

1. The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for disbarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

2. Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

Signature: __________________________
Date: __________________________
Name: __________________________
Title/Position: __________________________
Entity Name: __________________________
Address: ____________________________________________
**Authorized Individuals**

The offeror/bidder/applicant represents that the following persons are authorized to negotiate on its behalf with IRI and to bind the recipient in connection with this procurement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature: _______________________

Date: _______________________

Name: _______________________

Title/Position: _______________________

Entity Name: _______________________


CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal Cooperative Agreement, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned must complete and submit Standard Form LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities,” in accordance with its instructions.

3. The undersigned must require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients must certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, United States Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification will be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

Signature: __________________________
Date: __________________________
Name: __________________________
Title/Position: __________________________
Entity Name: __________________________
Address: ____________________________________________