Seventh Annual Ukrainian Municipal Survey

12 May - 3 June, 2021

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH
A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Geographical Key



Due to Russian occupation of Crimea and ongoing conflict in the East of Ukraine, citizens of Simferopol, Donetsk, and Luhansk did not participate in the survey. Instead, Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk were included in the survey to represent Donetsk and Luhansk oblasts. As a result of legislation passed in 2016 changing Soviet-era names of cities, the names of Dnipropetrovsk and Kirovograd have been changed to Dnipro and Kropyvnytskyi, respectively.

The Seventh In-Depth Look at Ukrainian Local Governance

- This annual survey provides an extensive look at citizen attitudes about local governance and municipal services.

- Polling was conducted in the 24 regional capitals of Ukraine not under the control of Russian or Russian-backed forces, including Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk in the Donbas region. The survey is based on a sample size of 19,196 respondents.

Survey Contents		Page No.
	Methodology	5
	Moods and Attitudes	6
	Electoral Moods (City council)	17
	Assessment of the Quality of Public Goods and Services	25
	Assessment of Local Authorities' Activities	76
	Assessment of Government and Nongovernment Entities' Activities	85
	Development and emotional perception of the city	132
	Electoral Moods (Verkhovna Rada)	155
	Perceptions Regarding Coronavirus	164
	Perceptions on Coronavirus Vaccination	169
	Public Safety	174
	Attitude toward Other Countries and International Unions	178
	Demographics	193

Methodology

- The survey was conducted by Sociological Group "Rating" (Rating Group Ukraine) on behalf of the International Republican Institute's Center for Insights in Survey Research.

- The data was collected in 24 cities in Ukraine (Kyiv and all oblast centers; including Mariupol in Donetsk oblast and Sievierodonetsk in Luhansk oblast) between May 12 and June 3, 2021 through face-to-face interviews at the respondents' homes.

- The sample consisted of n=19,196 permanent residents of Ukraine aged 18 and older.

- In order to obtain this representative sample, 800 respondents were interviewed in each city regardless of population size. The geographical coverage of the city area was based on the electoral districts established by the Central Election Commission of Ukraine. Random route and "birthday" rule were used to select respondents. The survey data obtained in each city was weighted by gender and age using national statistical data.

- IRI's first municipal survey was conducted in 22 cities on March 2-20, 2015. The sample included 17,600 respondents. The second wave of municipal survey was conducted in 24 cities on January 20-February 8, 2016 (19,200 respondents). The third wave was conducted in 24 cities on January 20–February 12, 2017 (19,200 respondents). The fourth wave was conducted in 24 cities on January 20–February 10, 2018 (19,200 respondents). The fifth wave was conducted in 24 cities on September 7 - October 10, 2019 (19,200 respondents). The sixth wave was conducted in 24 cities on January 25 – February 17, 2020 (19,200 respondents).

- The margin of error for each city does not exceed ±3.5 points.

- Average response rate is 65 percent.

- Charts and graphs may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding.

- The 2015, 2016, 2017 surveys were funded by the Government of Canada. The 2018 survey was funded by the National Endowment for Democracy. The 2019, 2020 and 2021 surveys were funded by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID).

Moods and Attitudes

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Generally speaking, do you think that things in Ukraine are going in the right direction or the wrong direction?



Generally speaking, do you think that things in Ukraine are going in the right direction or the wrong direction? (continued)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

Generally speaking, do you think that things in your city are going in the right direction or the wrong direction?



Generally speaking, do you think that things in your city are going in the right direction or the wrong direction? (continued)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

Over the last 12 months, how has the economic situation in Ukraine changed?



Over the last 12 months, how has the economic situation in Ukraine changed? (continued)

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “worsened a lot”, and 5 – “improved a lot”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 734 to 788)



Over last 12 months, how has the economic situation of your household changed?



Over last 12 months, how has the economic situation of your household changed? (continued)

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “worsened a lot”, and 5 – “improved a lot”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 779 to 797)



In the next 12 months, how do you expect the economic situation in Ukraine to change?



In the next 12 months, how do you expect the economic situation in Ukraine to change? (continued)

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “worsened a lot”, and 5 – “improved a lot”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 647 to 742)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

Electoral Moods (City council)

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

If city council elections were held next Sunday, would you participate?



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (WEST)



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (WEST)



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (CENTER)



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (CENTER)



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (SOUTH-EAST)



If city council elections were held next Sunday, and the list of parties was the same as in October 2020, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (SOUTH-EAST)



Assessment of the Quality of Public Goods and Services

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Quality of Public Goods & Services Historic Index

The aggregate index expresses the average value of the quality of 22 services taken together, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”



**In the previous poll this index was referred to as "Quality of Public Goods and Services Index“.*

The index has been calculated since 2016.

Quality of Public Goods & Services Historic Index

The aggregate index expresses the average value of the quality of 22 services taken together, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”



In the previous poll this index was referred to as "Quality of Public Goods and Services Index“.
The index has been calculated since 2016.

Quality of Public Goods & Services Index

The aggregate index expresses the average value of the quality of 25 services taken together, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”



In polls prior to 2020, this index was referred to as "Average Score of the Quality of Public Goods and Services in the City."

The index has been calculated since 2016.

This index also includes 3 services measured for the first time in 2021: *Social protection services*, *Electricity/Power supply*, and *Gas supply*.

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

SIDEWALKS



**In polls prior to 2020, this index was referred to as "Average Score of the Quality of Public Goods and Services in the City."*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

SIDEWALKS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 780 to 799)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

**In polls prior to 2020, this index was referred to as "Average Score of the Quality of Public Goods and Services in the City."*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

TRASH COLLECTION



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

TRASH COLLECTION

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 785 to 799)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

SEWAGE



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

SEWAGE

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 681 to 775)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 670 to 748)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE/PUBLIC TRANSPORT



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE/PUBLIC TRANSPORT

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 666 to 764)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

STREET LIGHTING



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

STREET LIGHTING

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 748 to 796)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

ROADS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

ROADS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 775 to 797)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 609 to 754)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?
SPORT FACILITIES (stadiums, sport clubs, etc.)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

SPORT FACILITIES (stadiums, sport clubs, etc.)

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 581 to 697)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?
UNIVERSITIES, TECHNICAL INSTITUTES, COLLEGES



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

UNIVERSITIES, TECHNICAL INSTITUTES, COLLEGES

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 474 to 642)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?
SCHOOLS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

SCHOOLS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 569 to 686)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

KINDERGARTENS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

KINDERGARTENS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 473 to 652)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?
CULTURE PREMISES (concert halls, libraries, etc.)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

CULTURE PREMISES (concert halls, libraries, etc.)

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 459 to 636)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

STREET MARKETS AND TRADE PREMISES



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

STREET MARKETS AND TRADE PREMISES

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 733 to 791)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

PUBLIC PARKS AND GARDENS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

PUBLIC PARKS AND GARDENS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 713 to 777)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

YARDS AND AREAS NEAR BUILDINGS



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

YARDS AND AREAS NEAR BUILDINGS

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 743 to 795)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

POLICE ACTIVITIES



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

POLICE ACTIVITIES

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 561 to 687)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

WATER SUPPLY



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

WATER SUPPLY

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 732 to 797)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

ENVIRONMENT



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

ENVIRONMENT

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 729 to 798)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

PARKING



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

PARKING

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 632 to 760)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

HEATING



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city? (continued)

HEATING

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 551 to 785)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?(continued)

ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 1 is “terrible” , and 5 – “excellent”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 644 to 738)



How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

SOCIAL PROTECTION SERVICES



This service was measured for this first time in this poll – there is no historic data.

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

ELECTRICITY/POWER SUPPLY



This service was measured for this first time in this poll – there is no historic data.

How would you rate the quality of each of the following services and/or public goods that are available in your city?

GAS SUPPLY



This service was measured for this first time in this poll – there is no historic data.

Assessment of Local Authorities' Activities

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

To what degree are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the activities of your city council?



To what degree are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the activities of your city council?



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly dissatisfied”, and 3 – “strongly satisfied”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 531 to 736)



To what degree are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the activities of your mayor?



To what degree are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the activities of your mayor?



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly dissatisfied”, and 3 – “strongly satisfied”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 495 to 772)



Approval of the mayor and direction of the city's development



Have you ever used a center for administrative services to address your needs, and if yes, how would you rate your general impression from the services received?



If you live in an apartment building, do you have a housing association established there?



Has the establishment of the housing association (OSBB) helped to solve problems in your building?

% Among those who live in an apartment building and has the housing association (OSBB) established assessment (n varies from 81 to 500)



Assessment of Government and Nongovernment Entities' Activities

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

PRESIDENT OF UKRAINE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

PRESIDENT OF UKRAINE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 658 to 775)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

PARLIAMENT OF UKRAINE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

PARLIAMENT OF UKRAINE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 664 to 769)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

GOVERNMENT OF UKRAINE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

GOVERNMENT OF UKRAINE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 649 to 769)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

OBLAST STATE ADMINISTRATION



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

OBLAST STATE ADMINISTRATION



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 394 to 664)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

OBLAST COUNCIL



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

OBLAST COUNCIL



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 373 to 657)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

MAYOR



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

MAYOR



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 397 to 778)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 488 to 677)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

CITY COUNCIL



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

CITY COUNCIL



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 500 to 718)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

COMMUNAL ENTERPRISES



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

COMMUNAL ENTERPRISES



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 681 to 765)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

POLICE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

POLICE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 593 to 715)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

NATIONAL GUARD



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

NATIONAL GUARD



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 425 to 607)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

ARMY



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

ARMY



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 588 to 721)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

COURTS



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

COURTS



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 519 to 680)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

PROSECUTORS' OFFICES



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

PROSECUTORS' OFFICES



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is "strongly disapprove", and 3 – "strongly approve"
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 480 to 641)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

NATIONAL ANTI-CORRUPTION BUREAU



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

NATIONAL ANTI-CORRUPTION BUREAU



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 461 to 634)



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

SECURITY SERVICE OF UKRAINE



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

SECURITY SERVICE OF UKRAINE



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 451 to 642)



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

CHURCH



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

CHURCH



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 560 to 746)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

NATIONAL MEDIA



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

NATIONAL MEDIA



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 643 to 745)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

LOCAL MEDIA



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

LOCAL MEDIA



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 591 to 712)



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

NGOs



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

NGOs



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 498 to 658)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

VOLUNTEERS



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

VOLUNTEERS



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 584 to 713)



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS (OSBB, OSN ETC.)



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATIONS (OSBB, OSN ETC.)



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 370 to 658)

**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities?

TRADE UNIONS



To which degree do you approve the work of the following government and nongovernment entities? (continued)

TRADE UNIONS



The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “strongly disapprove”, and 3 – “strongly approve”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 347 to 584)



Development and Emotional Perception of the City

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH
A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

To what degree are you confident that the local budget of your city is used effectively for the development of your city?



To what degree are you confident that the local budget of your city is used effectively for the development of your city?

Direction of the city development. Assessment of the mayor's performance.



In your opinion, how favorable or unfavorable are conditions in your city for young people to succeed?



In your opinion, how favorable or unfavorable are conditions in your city for young people to succeed?

Age. Direction of the city development. Assessment of the mayor's performance.



According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?

BEAUTIFUL, NOT BEAUTIFUL



Emotional perception of the city: BEAUTIFUL CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Not beautiful, and 2 is Beautiful
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 791 to 800)



According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?

TIDY, NOT TIDY



Emotional perception of the city: TIDY CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Not tidy, and 2 is Tidy
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 794 to 800)



** The exact value is 0.01*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?

HAPPY, DEPRESSIVE



Emotional perception of the city: HAPPY CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Depressive, and 2 is Happy
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 755 to 795)



**The exact value is -0.01*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?

MODERN, NOT MODERN



Emotional perception of the city: modern city

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Not modern, and 2 is Modern
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 777 to 800)



**The exact value is -0.03*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?



Emotional perception of the city: PROGRESSIVE CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Declining, and 2 is Progressive
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 766 to 794)



**The value is -0.02*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?

UNIQUE, ORDINARY



Emotional perception of the city: unique city

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Ordinary, and 2 is Unique
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 761 to 794)



**The value is 0.04*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?



Emotional perception of the city: CALM CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is Noisy, and 2 is Calm

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 777 to 799)



According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?



Emotional perception of the city: ECONOMICALLY DEVELOPED CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is economically underdeveloped, and 2 is economically developed

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 737 to 783)



**The value is 0.04*
***The value is -0.02*

According to these criteria, how would you evaluate your city?



Emotional perception of the city: ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY CITY

The value of the index varies from -2 to 2, where -2 is ecologically polluted, and 2 is environmentally friendly

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 765 to 800)



**The value is 0.02*

Electoral Moods (Verkhovna Rada)*

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

*The parliament of Ukraine**

If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, would you participate?



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

%, all respondents

	Vinnytsia	Dnipro	Zhytomyr	Zaporizhzhia	Ivano-Frankivsk	Kyiv	Kropyvnytskyi	Lutsk	Lviv	Mykolaiv	Odesa	Poltava	Rivne	Sumy	Ternopil	Uzhhorod	Kharkiv	Kherson	Khmelnytskyi	Cherkasy	Chernivtsi	Chernihiv	Mariupol	Sievierodonetsk
Servant of the People	7%	14%	15%	19%	6%	11%	17%	10%	6%	12%	14%	13%	14%	14%	9%	13%	15%	14%	14%	13%	16%	14%	7%	9%
European Solidarity	10%	7%	9%	6%	12%	11%	9%	13%	25%	8%	3%	8%	19%	9%	15%	12%	7%	9%	7%	8%	13%	5%	2%	3%
Opposition Platform	3%	12%	6%	13%	1%	7%	6%	3%	1%	13%	16%	5%	3%	7%	<1%	5%	22%	12%	3%	4%	3%	5%	31%	21%
Fatherland Party	5%	3%	11%	5%	5%	6%	8%	7%	4%	5%	6%	7%	10%	9%	6%	7%	4%	8%	7%	6%	7%	11%	2%	3%
Syla i Chest	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	4%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%	2%	1%
All-Ukrainian Union Freedom “Svoboda”	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	19%	1%	<1%	3%	3%	<1%	<1%	1%	4%	1%	16%	1%	<1%	1%	5%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-
Shariy Party	1%	4%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	3%	6%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	7%	4%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	5%	6%
Ukrainian Strategy	32%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%
Voice Political Party	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	<1%	1%	6%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	<1%	3%	1%	2%	<1%	1%
For the Future	<1%	-	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	11%	<1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%
Radical Party of Oleh Lyashko	1%	<1%	3%	<1%	1%	<1%	2%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%
Party “Nashi”	<1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	<1%	<1%	4%	2%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%
Party Proposytsia	<1%	9%	5%	-	-	<1%	4%	-	-	3%	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	-	-	-	<1%	-	<1%	<1%
Ukrainian Democratic Alliance for Reform – UDAR	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	7%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%
Self-Reliance	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	11%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	-	<1%	1%	-	<1%
National Corps	-	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Opposition Bloc	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	3%	1%
Civic Position	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-
Democratic Sokyra	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	<1%	1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%
Syla Lyudei	<1%	-	<1%	-	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	-
Other	<1%	2%	2%	4%	3%	5%	4%	1%	2%	2%	7%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	6%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%
I would not vote	22%	23%	21%	29%	23%	20%	26%	23%	19%	31%	29%	31%	18%	27%	15%	27%	15%	23%	27%	36%	27%	23%	23%	27%
Difficult to answer /No answer	12%	17%	13%	11%	24%	21%	14%	18%	14%	10%	12%	18%	13%	18%	20%	18%	15%	11%	24%	18%	18%	18%	13%	18%

If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (WEST)



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (WEST)



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (CENTER)



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (CENTER)



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (SOUTH-EAST)



If parliamentary elections were held next Sunday, what party would you support?

% among those who intend to vote (SOUTH-EAST)



Perceptions Regarding Coronavirus

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

How serious is the Coronavirus pandemic for you personally?



What worries you most about the consequences of the Coronavirus pandemic?

% among those who consider the pandemic seriously (n varies from 359 to 511)



Do you approve or disapprove of your local government's handling of the Coronavirus pandemic?



Do you approve or disapprove of the national government's handling of the Coronavirus pandemic?



Perceptions on Coronavirus Vaccination



CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Are you willing to be vaccinated against COVID-19 when the vaccine is available to you?



Are you willing to be vaccinated against COVID-19 when the vaccine is available to you?

Gender. Age. Assessment of the quality of the medical institutions. Personal assessment of the coronavirus pandemic.



Which vaccine would you prefer to take to be vaccinated against COVID-19?

% Among those who answered “Very willing” or “Somewhat willing”

	Vinnytsia (n=363)	Dnipro (n=244)	Zhytomyr (n=312)	Zaporizhzhia (n=253)	Ivano-Frankivsk (n=335)	Kyiv (n=314)	Kropyvnytskyi (n=290)	Lutsk (n=305)	Lviv (n=346)	Mykolaiv (n=240)	Odesa (n=219)	Poltava (n=277)	Rivne (n=308)	Sumy (n=318)	Ternopil (n=300)	Uzhhorod (n=343)	Kharkiv (n=288)	Kherson (n=262)	Khmelnytskyi (n=322)	Cherkasy (n=257)	Chernivtsi (n=272)	Chernihiv (n=266)	Mariupol (n=194)	Sievierodonetsk (n=259)
Vaccine developed either in the EU or the United States of America	69%	52%	60%	60%	72%	68%	71%	75%	80%	57%	47%	63%	77%	60%	81%	66%	48%	65%	66%	62%	72%	63%	34%	33%
The first available vaccination	17%	16%	17%	12%	13%	14%	17%	12%	10%	11%	15%	16%	13%	20%	9%	11%	16%	12%	14%	12%	10%	15%	15%	19%
Vaccine developed in the Russian Federation	3%	18%	8%	19%	1%	5%	6%	2%	1%	17%	21%	7%	1%	6%	<1%	4%	24%	12%	3%	7%	6%	5%	38%	38%
Vaccine developed in China	1%	2%	2%	3%	<1%	3%	<1%	2%	1%	3%	<1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%
Vaccine developed in India	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%
None of these	-	1%	2%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%
Difficult to answer/No answer	7%	9%	8%	4%	12%	9%	4%	7%	6%	7%	11%	7%	3%	11%	6%	12%	6%	6%	13%	11%	5%	12%	7%	5%

What is the primary reason you don't want to be vaccinated?

% Among those who answered "Very unwilling" or "Somewhat unwilling"

	Vinnytsia (n=366)	Dnipro (n=500)	Zhytomyr (n=429)	Zaporizhzhia (n=502)	Ivano-Frankivsk (N=374)	Kyiv (N=430)	Kropyvnytskyi (N=456)	Lutsk (n=417)	Lviv (n=356)	Mykolaiv (n=489)	Odesa (n=533)	Poltava (n=444)	Rivne (n=424)	Sumy (n=391)	Ternopil (n=410)	Uzhhorod (n=398)	Kharkiv (475)	Kherson (n=484)	Khmelnytskyi (n=405)	Cherkasy (n=470)	Chernivtsi (n=451)	Chernihiv (n=452)	Mariupol (n=548)	Sievierodonetsk (n=483)
I am afraid of the side effects	25%	35%	29%	37%	24%	30%	37%	22%	28%	31%	29%	32%	24%	24%	29%	20%	34%	27%	40%	26%	25%	34%	29%	31%
I do not believe the vaccines are effective	22%	24%	25%	19%	23%	15%	22%	24%	24%	19%	28%	16%	23%	34%	22%	26%	14%	26%	20%	21%	28%	24%	24%	28%
I do not think that vaccines are effective enough	25%	13%	16%	15%	19%	18%	14%	26%	10%	16%	12%	15%	22%	17%	22%	19%	15%	16%	16%	17%	18%	15%	12%	12%
I do not believe the government's information about vaccines	11%	13%	11%	10%	11%	15%	15%	11%	13%	15%	13%	13%	11%	8%	12%	8%	16%	12%	8%	15%	10%	10%	17%	15%
I already had COVID and I don't need a vaccine	7%	7%	8%	5%	10%	7%	6%	8%	9%	6%	5%	10%	13%	6%	8%	10%	9%	8%	7%	9%	10%	9%	7%	4%
I am hesitant to choose between the several vaccines options	4%	5%	5%	10%	5%	4%	5%	5%	8%	6%	7%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	6%	7%	2%	3%	4%	4%	5%	5%
Other	5%	3%	4%	4%	7%	8%	<1%	4%	6%	6%	5%	9%	3%	6%	4%	10%	3%	3%	5%	8%	5%	2%	3%	4%
Difficult to answer/No answer	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	<1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%

Public Safety

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH
A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Do you feel safe walking home alone in your neighborhood at night?



Do you feel safe walking home alone in your neighborhood at night?

Gender. Assessment of the mayor's performance. Direction of the city development.



Do you feel safe walking home alone in your neighborhood at night? (continued)

The value of the index varies from 0 to 3, where 0 is “I feel completely unsafe”, and 3 – “I feel completely safe”
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 720 to 777)



*The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey

Attitude towards Other Countries and International Unions

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH
A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

If Ukraine could only enter one international economic union, which of the following should it be?



If Ukraine could only enter one international economic union, which of the following should it be? (continued)

% of those who support joining the EU



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

If a referendum were held today on Ukraine joining NATO, how would you vote?



If a referendum were held today on Ukraine joining NATO, how would you vote? (continued)

% of those who support joining NATO



**The cities of Mariupol and Sievierodonetsk did not participate in the 2015 survey*

How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

RUSSIA



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

RUSSIA



The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 5 is «very warm», and 1 - «very cold»

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 734 to 792)



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

UNITED STATES



The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 5 is «very warm», and 1 - «very cold»

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 736 to 790)



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

CHINA



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

CHINA



The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 5 is «very warm», and 1 - «very cold»

Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 716 to 790)



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

CANADA



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

CANADA



The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 5 is «very warm», and 1 - «very cold»
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 717 to 782)



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

THE EUROPEAN UNION



How do you evaluate your attitude toward each of the following countries?

THE EUROPEAN UNION



The value of the index varies from 1 to 5, where 5 is «very warm», and 1 - «very cold»
Among those who provided an assessment (n varies from 729 to 789)



Demographics

CENTER FOR INSIGHTS IN SURVEY RESEARCH

A PROJECT OF THE INTERNATIONAL REPUBLICAN INSTITUTE

Demographics

		Vinnytsia	Dnipro	Zhytomyr	Zaporizhzhia	Ivano-Frankivsk	Kyiv	Kropyvnytskyi	Lutsk	Lviv	Mykolaiv	Odesa	Poltava	Rivne	Sumy	Ternopil	Uzhhorod	Kharkiv	Kherson	Khmelnytskyi	Cherkasy	Chernivtsi	Chernihiv	Mariupol	Sievierodonetsk
GENDER	Male	44%	44%	45%	44%	45%	45%	44%	43%	46%	44%	46%	45%	44%	44%	45%	46%	45%	43%	45%	44%	45%	45%	45%	45%
	Female	56%	56%	55%	56%	55%	55%	56%	57%	55%	56%	54%	55%	56%	56%	56%	55%	55%	57%	55%	56%	55%	55%	55%	55%
AGE	18-35 years	32%	30%	32%	27%	37%	30%	31%	32%	32%	30%	31%	32%	34%	30%	33%	34%	32%	30%	32%	30%	34%	30%	25%	25%
	36-50 years	28%	27%	29%	28%	27%	32%	27%	29%	26%	28%	28%	28%	28%	28%	29%	30%	26%	26%	29%	27%	29%	29%	27%	26%
	51 years and older	39%	43%	39%	45%	36%	38%	42%	38%	42%	43%	41%	40%	38%	42%	38%	36%	41%	45%	39%	43%	37%	41%	48%	49%
CHILDREN UNDER 16	Yes	37%	35%	41%	35%	39%	40%	40%	41%	41%	37%	42%	35%	36%	36%	37%	43%	41%	34%	42%	34%	37%	36%	31%	33%
	No	63%	65%	59%	65%	60%	60%	59%	59%	59%	62%	58%	65%	64%	64%	62%	57%	59%	66%	58%	66%	63%	64%	69%	67%
	Difficult to answer /No answer	-	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	<1%
EDUCATION	Primary/Non-complete secondary	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	General secondary	16%	18%	14%	18%	9%	7%	16%	13%	8%	15%	16%	10%	11%	9%	12%	4%	12%	14%	11%	15%	13%	14%	18%	7%
	Vocational secondary	29%	34%	38%	37%	39%	27%	38%	31%	28%	30%	32%	36%	34%	39%	31%	32%	26%	35%	37%	36%	34%	39%	44%	52%
	Higher/Non-complete higher	54%	46%	46%	44%	50%	66%	43%	55%	63%	50%	51%	52%	53%	50%	55%	62%	59%	47%	49%	47%	50%	46%	34%	39%
	Difficult to answer /No answer	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%
SECTOR OF EMPLOYMENT	Worker	19%	19%	26%	25%	15%	12%	22%	23%	21%	22%	20%	24%	25%	20%	18%	21%	19%	19%	26%	24%	22%	28%	25%	24%
	Farmer/Peasant	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	1%
	White collar	13%	10%	11%	13%	6%	23%	11%	12%	12%	10%	13%	15%	10%	11%	13%	9%	11%	8%	12%	9%	7%	15%	8%	9%
	Higher or medium level executive	5%	9%	8%	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	7%	8%	3%	6%	6%	7%	8%	8%	6%	4%	6%	5%	4%	4%	7%
	Pensioner	24%	29%	23%	27%	21%	25%	28%	24%	22%	26%	24%	26%	24%	30%	23%	19%	26%	27%	24%	28%	23%	27%	35%	33%
	Student	6%	3%	5%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	7%	6%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	9%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	Homemaker	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	10%	10%	6%	6%	8%	9%	9%	9%	10%	5%	9%	12%	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%	5%
	Unemployed	6%	5%	4%	3%	9%	6%	4%	8%	7%	3%	5%	5%	7%	7%	11%	10%	3%	7%	5%	4%	9%	6%	4%	4%
	Self-employed/Entrepreneur	12%	10%	8%	10%	11%	10%	9%	8%	10%	9%	13%	9%	9%	9%	6%	13%	11%	10%	11%	8%	7%	7%	9%	7%
	Other	7%	7%	9%	5%	17%	5%	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	5%	4%	5%	8%	6%	8%	7%	6%	10%	12%	5%	5%	6%
	Difficult to answer /No answer	-	<1%	<1%	-	1%	1%	-	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%

Demographics

		Vinnytsia	Dnipro	Zhytomyr	Zaporizhzhia	Ivano-Frankivsk	Kyiv	Kropyvnytskyi	Lutsk	Lviv	Mykolaiv	Odesa	Poltava	Rivne	Sumy	Ternopil	Uzhhorod	Kharkiv	Kherson	Khmelnytskyi	Cherkasy	Chernivtsi	Chernihiv	Mariupol	Sievierodonetsk
LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	Ukrainian	81%	44%	76%	27%	96%	59%	66%	98%	96%	32%	29%	81%	95%	68%	98%	80%	18%	41%	89%	83%	88%	50%	10%	23%
	Russian	34%	91%	40%	96%	8%	68%	54%	8%	14%	83%	96%	41%	13%	75%	5%	29%	94%	91%	24%	44%	35%	80%	98%	95%
	Hungarian	-	-	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	<1%	-	-	-	<1%	<1%	5%	<1%	-	-	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%
	Bulgarian	-	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	-	<1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	<1%	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	-
	Romanian	-	-	<1%	-	-	-	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	<1%	-	1%	-	-	-	<1%	4%	<1%	<1%	-
	Slovak	-	-	-	-	<1%	-	-	-	-	-	<1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Polish	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	-	<1%	2%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	-	<1%	<1%
	Other	1%	2%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	3%	7%	<1%	2%	<1%	7%	2%	1%	<1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Difficult to answer /No answer	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	1%	-	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-	<1%
LIVING SITUATION	I live in my own house or apartment	70%	71%	65%	75%	64%	64%	73%	72%	76%	61%	77%	74%	68%	76%	62%	66%	65%	65%	66%	68%	70%	69%	67%	72%
	I live in my parents' house or apartment	14%	13%	17%	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	13%	17%	13%	12%	17%	11%	21%	18%	16%	18%	17%	14%	13%	18%	18%	10%
	I live in my relative's or friend's house or apartment	4%	2%	5%	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%	7%	3%	2%	5%	4%	6%	3%	6%	7%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%
	I live in a rented house or apartment	10%	11%	10%	7%	10%	15%	8%	10%	5%	11%	6%	10%	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	8%	10%	10%	11%	8%	7%	11%
	I live in housing	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%
	Other	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	<1%	<1%	-	1%	<1%	-	1%	<1%
	Difficult to answer /No answer	<1%	-	<1%	-	1%	2%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	1%	<1%

Demographics

		Vinnytsia	Dnipro	Zhytomyr	Zaporizhzhia	Ivano-Frankivsk	Kyiv	Kropyvnytskyi	Lutsk	Lviv	Mykolaiv	Odesa	Poltava	Rivne	Sumy	Ternopil	Uzhhorod	Kharkiv	Kherson	Khmelnytskyi	Cherkasy	Chernivtsi	Chernihiv	Mariupol	Sievierodonetsk
RELIGION	Orthodox UPC (Kyiv Patriarchate, Local Orthodox Church in Ukraine)	46%	38%	58%	40%	26%	40%	63%	68%	30%	50%	29%	35%	64%	54%	32%	42%	35%	49%	65%	51%	50%	49%	48%	38%
	Orthodox UPC MP (Ukrainian Orthodox Church, Moscow Patriarchate)	12%	12%	12%	16%	1%	8%	4%	11%	2%	15%	26%	8%	15%	12%	2%	4%	24%	13%	10%	8%	15%	14%	23%	19%
	Greek Catholic	1%	-	1%	-	49%	1%	<1%	1%	49%	<1%	1%	<1%	1%	<1%	45%	24%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	<1%	<1%
	Catholic	2%	-	7%	<1%	2%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	<1%	1%	8%	<1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-
	Protestant	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	<1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	<1%
	Judaism	<1%	<1%	-	-	<1%	<1%	-	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	-
	Muslim	<1%	1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	-	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	-	<1%	<1%	1%
	Atheist	7%	8%	7%	12%	5%	11%	9%	3%	3%	9%	7%	10%	3%	8%	3%	9%	9%	10%	4%	8%	6%	5%	7%	13%
	I believe in God, but do not belong to any religion	26%	31%	9%	26%	6%	31%	19%	10%	10%	18%	27%	34%	12%	20%	11%	10%	25%	22%	6%	20%	18%	26%	13%	26%
	Other	2%	5%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	8%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	7%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	Difficult to answer/No answer	1%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%

Demographics

		Vinnytsia	Dnipro	Zhytomyr	Zaporizhzhia	Ivano-Frankivsk	Kyiv	Kropyvnytskyi	Lutsk	Lviv	Mykolaiv	Odesa	Poltava	Rivne	Sumy	Ternopil	Uzhhorod	Kharkiv	Kherson	Khmelnytskyi	Cherkasy	Chernivtsi	Chernihiv	Mariupol	Sievierodonetsk
FINANCIAL SITUATION OF THE FAMILY	We need to save money for food.	15%	23%	23%	20%	21%	11%	29%	20%	16%	18%	28%	20%	19%	34%	20%	7%	21%	23%	14%	24%	21%	19%	30%	22%
	We have enough money for food, but we need to save or borrow money for buying clothes and shoes.	28%	35%	24%	26%	16%	17%	25%	24%	20%	25%	28%	24%	22%	25%	21%	15%	22%	26%	26%	22%	28%	27%	28%	29%
	We have enough money for food and necessary clothing and shoes, but we need to save or borrow money for other purchases like a good suit, a mobile phone, or a vacuum cleaner.	24%	21%	26%	29%	24%	31%	27%	30%	26%	27%	23%	31%	29%	23%	30%	23%	29%	28%	37%	24%	31%	33%	21%	27%
	We have enough money for food, clothing, shoes, and other purchases, but we need to save or borrow money for purchasing more expensive things.	18%	12%	15%	15%	15%	24%	12%	12%	19%	18%	13%	19%	14%	9%	15%	39%	16%	12%	14%	16%	12%	12%	11%	12%
	We have enough money for food, clothes, shoes, and expensive purchases, but we need to save or borrow money for purchases like a car or an apartment.	10%	6%	9%	8%	14%	11%	4%	9%	14%	8%	6%	5%	10%	5%	11%	13%	10%	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	5%	5%
	I can buy anything at any time.	3%	2%	3%	2%	7%	2%	1%	5%	3%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%
	Difficult to answer/No answer	2%	1%	1%	<1%	2%	3%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	1%	<1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	<1%	1%	2%	1%

International Republican Institute
(202) 408-9450 | info@iri.org
www.IRI.org | @IRIGlobal

USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

International Republican Institute
IRI
advancing democracy worldwide

соціологічна група
РЕЙТИНГ